



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|

10/541,394

03/29/2006

Matti Kivikko

06267.0128

6385

22852

7590

03/18/2010

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER
LLP

901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413

EXAMINER

STONE, CHRISTOPHER R

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1628

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

03/18/2010

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | | |
|------------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|--|
| Office Action Summary | Application No. 10/541,394 | Applicant(s) KIVIKKO ET AL. | |
| | Examiner CHRISTOPHER R. STONE | Art Unit 1628 | |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 November 2009.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 3-8 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 3-8 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/18/2009</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Applicants' arguments, filed November 18, 2009 and November 24, 2009, have been fully considered but are moot in view of new grounds of rejection. Rejections and/or objections not reiterated from previous office actions are hereby withdrawn. The following rejections and/or objections are either reiterated or newly applied. They constitute the complete set presently being applied to the instant application.

Status of Claims

Claims 3-8 are currently pending and under examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 3-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Follath et al (The Lancet, Vol. 360, p. 196-202, 2002) in view of Perrone et al (Clinical Chemistry, 38(10), p. 1933-1953, 1992) and Pagel et al (Cardiovascular Drug Reviews, 14(5), p. 286-316, provided by Applicant).

Claims 3-8 are drawn to a method of treating renal failure and reducing the mortality in a mammal suffering from renal failure comprising administering levosimendan or its metabolite (R)-N-[4-(1,4,5,6- tetrahydro-4-methyl-6-oxo-3-pyridazinyl)phenyl]acetamide, or any of their pharmaceutically acceptable salts thereof.

Follath et al teaches a method of treating heart failure in a human comprising administering levosimendan (abstract). Follath et al further teaches that the administration of levosimendan decreases serum creatinine levels, possibly due to increased organ (e.g. kidney) perfusion (p. 200, left column, 3rd full paragraph). Follath et al does not expressly teach that the method treats severe renal failure or reduces mortality in a mammal suffering from severe renal failure or the periodic or daily administration of levosimendan orally.

Perrone et al teaches that serum creatinine is the most widely used and commonly accepted measurement of renal function and that serum creatinine concentration is inversely proportional to the glomerular filtration rate and renal function (abstract, p. 1934, right column first paragraph and Fig. 1B). That is, a decrease in serum creatinine indicates increased renal function.

Pagel et al et al teaches the daily administration of levosimendan, orally, for the treatment of heart failure (p. 311, first full paragraph, p. 313, 2nd full paragraph). Pagel et

Art Unit: 1628

al further teaches that levosimendan has similar pharmacokinetics in patients without renal failure and in patients with severe renal failure (creatinine clearance as low as 8ml/min, p. 304, first paragraph, p. 313, last paragraph).

Therefore it would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instantly claimed invention to orally administer levosimendan to a mammal with severe renal failure in order to treat said renal failure and to reduce the mortality in a mammal suffering from severe renal failure, since administration of the drug was known to result in increased renal function (i.e. to treat renal failure or dysfunction), daily oral administration was taught to be an appropriate schedule/route of administration for the drug and severe renal failure would not have been expected to negate the efficacy of the drug since the pharmacokinetics (e.g. bioavailability) of the drug were not compromised by severely impaired kidney function, thus resulting in the practice of the instantly claimed invention with a reasonable expectation of success.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CHRISTOPHER R. STONE whose telephone number is (571)270-3494. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 7:30am-4:00pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreenivasan Padmanabhan can be reached on 571-272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1628

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

CRS

/Brandon J Fetterolf/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1642